

## Primitive Decision Models

- Still widely used
- Illustrate problems with intuitive approach
- Provide base for appreciating advantages of decision analysis

## Payoff Matrix as Basic Framework

### BASIS: Payoff Matrix

Alternative	State of "nature" $S_1 S_2 \dots S_M$
$A_1$	Value of outcomes  $O_{NM}$
$A_2$	
$A_N$	

## Primitive Model: Laplace (1)

- **Decision Rule:**

- a) Assume each state of nature equally probable =>  $p_m = 1/m$
- b) Use these probabilities to calculate an “expected” value for each alternative
- c) Maximize “expected” value

## Primitive Model: Laplace (2)

- **Example**

	S <sub>1</sub>	S <sub>2</sub>	<u>“expected” value</u>
A <sub>1</sub>	100	40	70
A <sub>2</sub>	70	80	75

## Primitive Model: Laplace (3)

- **Problem: Sensitivity to framing**  
==> “irrelevant alternatives

	$S_{1A}$	$S_{1A}$	$S_2$	<u>“expected” value</u>
$A_1$	100	100	40	80
$A_2$	70	70	80	73.3

## Maximin or Maximax Rules (1)

- **Decision Rule:**
  - a) Identify minimum or maximum outcomes for each alternative
  - b) Choose alternative that maximizes the global minimum or maximum

## Maximin or Maximax Rules (2)

- **Example:**

	$S_1$	$S_2$	$S_3$	<u>maximin</u>	<u>maximax</u>
$A_1$	100	40	30	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	2
$A_2$	70	80	20	2	3
$A_3$	0	0	110	3	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

- **Problems**

- discards most information
- focuses in extremes

## Regret (1)

- **Decision Rule**

- Regret = (max outcome for state i) - (value for that alternative)
- Rewrite payoff matrix in terms of regret
- Minimize maximum regret (minimax)

## Regret (2)

- **Example:**

	S <sub>1</sub>	S <sub>2</sub>	S <sub>3</sub>
A <sub>1</sub>	100	40	30
A <sub>2</sub>	70	80	20
A <sub>3</sub>	0	0	110

→

0	40	80
30	0	90
100	80	0

✓

## Regret (3)

- **Problem: Sensitivity to Irrelevant Alternatives**

A <sub>1</sub>	100	40	30
A <sub>2</sub>	70	80	20

0	40	0
30	0	10

✓

**NOTE: Reversal of evaluation if alternative dropped**  
**Problem: Potential Intransitivities**

## Weighted Index Approach (1)

- **Decision Rule**

a) Portray each choice with its deterministic attribute -- different from payoff matrix

For example:

Material	Cost	Density
A	\$50	11
B	\$50	9

## Weighted Index Approach (2)

b) Normalize table entries on some standard, to reduce the effect of differences in units. This could be a material (A or B); an average or extreme value, etc.

For example:

Material	Cost	Density
A	1.00	1.000
B	1.20	0.818

c) Decide according to weighted average of normalized attributes.

## Weighted Index Approach (3)

- **Problem 1: Sensitivity to Normalization**

Example:

	<u>Normalize on A</u>		<u>Normalize on B</u>	
Matl	\$	Dens	\$	Dens
A	1.00	1.000	0.83	1.22
B	1.20	0.818	1.00	1.00

Weighting both equally, we have

A > B (2.00 vs. 2.018)      B > A (2.00 vs. 2.05)

## Weighted Index Approach (4)

- **Problem 2: Sensitivity to Irrelevant Alternatives**

As above, evident when introducing a new alternative, and thus, new normalization standards.

- **Problem 3: Sensitivity to Framing “irrelevant attributes” similar to Laplace criterion (or any other using weights)**

## **Example from Practice**

- **Sydney Environmental Impact Statement**
- **10 potential sites for Second Airport**
- **About 80 characteristics**
  
- **The choice from first solution**
- **... not chosen when poor choices dropped**
- **... best choices depended on aggregation of attributes**
- **Procedure a mess -- totally dropped**

## **Summary**

- **Primitive Models are full of problems**
  
- **Yet they are popular because**  
    **people have complex spreadsheet data**  
    **they seem to provide simple answers**
  
- **Now you should know why to avoid them!**